UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MCALLEN DIVISION

Silvia Villarreal		§	
	Plaintiff	§ §	
•		§	CIVIL NO . 7.15 CV 104
V.		§ §	CIVIL NO.: 7:15-CV-184
		8	
Dearborn National		§	
Life Insurance Company		§	
		§	
		§	
	Defendant	§	

ORIGINAL COMPLAINT WITH JURY DEMAND

TO THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE:

NOW COMES Silvia Villarreal, Plaintiff herein, complaining of Dearborn National Life Insurance Company, (hereinafter referred to as "Dearborn"), and for cause of action would show:

- 1. Plaintiff is a resident of Edinburg, Hidalgo County, Texas.
- 2. Defendant, Dearborn, is an insurance corporation duly and legally formed under the laws of the state of Illinois, which is authorized to conduct and does business in the State of Texas. and may be served with citation herein by serving its registered agent, Andrew MacRae, Levatino Pace, LLP, 1101 South Capital of Texas Highway, Building K, Suite 125, Austin, Texas 78746.
- 3. Jurisdiction is appropriate in this court as the matter in controversy arises between citizens of different states and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.00. 28 U.S.C. § 1332.
- 4. All conditions precedent to Plaintiff's recovery under all causes of action against Defendant,

- Dearborn, set forth herein have been performed or have occurred.
- 5. By virtue of her employment as an Office Assistant II with the University of Texas, Plaintiff was eligible for disability benefits with Dearborn.
- 6. Plaintiff entered into a contract with Dearborn. Dearborn promised to pay benefits under a policy entitled "Long Term Disability Policy" in exchange for premiums paid by Plaintiff.
- 7. Plaintiff suffers from lumbar strain, herniated discs at L4-5 and L5-S1, osteoarthritis, diabetes mellitus, anxiety, and major depression. She filed a claim for benefits in December, 2011.
- 8. Dearborn initially approved Plaintiff's disability benefits, but subsequently denied them effective April 1, 2014. Dearborn contends Plaintiff did not meet the definition of disability under the plan after that date.
- 9. Plaintiff filed an appeal of Dearborn's discontinuation of her benefits on June 6, 2014 and was wrongfully denied on September 10, 2014. Plaintiff has exhausted her administrative remedies.
- 10. Defendant Dearborn breached its contract of insurance with plaintiff. Although Plaintiff submitted a claim under her policy, Defendant wrongfully and with malice discontinued benefits which should have been covered.
- Plaintiff's long term disability contract provides benefits to Plaintiff to age 65 so long as Plaintiff continues to be disabled. Plaintiff's net monthly benefit due is \$507.30. Plaintiff's past due long term benefits to date total \$6,255.00.
- 12. In addition to breaching its contract of insurance, Defendant violated certain provisions of the Texas Insurance Code. Defendant engaged in unfair, deceptive acts, and made material

misrepresentations to Plaintiff about the terms of the contract and the benefits payable under the contract. As a result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff was compelled to hire an attorney and institute this law suit.

- A. Section 541.060 (a)(2)(A) Defendant failed to attempt in good faith to effectuate a prompt, fair, and equitable settlement of a claim with respect to which its liability was clear.
- B. Section 541.060 (a)(7) Defendant refused to pay a claim without conducting a reasonable investigation with respect to the claim.
- 13. All of the above violations fall under Subchapter B of the Texas Insurance Code defined to be an unfair method of competition or an unfair or deceptive act or practice in the business of insurance. Plaintiff is, therefore, entitled under the Insurance Code to recover her damages plus treble damages, plus attorney's fees, and interest. Tex. Ins. Code § 541.152.
- 14. Defendant engaged in unfair claim settlement practices as defined under the Texas InsuranceCode.
 - A. Section 542.003 (b)(3) Defendant failed to adopt and implement reasonable standards for the prompt investigation of claims arising under its policy.
 - B. Section 542.003 (b)(4) Defendant did not attempt in good faith to effect a prompt, fair, and equitable settlement of a claim submitted in which liability was reasonably clear.
 - C. Section 542.003 (b)(5) Defendant compelled Plaintiff to institute a suit to recover an amount due under the policy by offering substantially less than the amount recovered at trial.

- 15. All of the above violations fall under Subchapter 542 of the Texas Insurance Code and are defined to be unfair claim settlement practices. Plaintiff is, therefore, entitled under the Insurance Code to recover his damages, plus attorney's fees, and interest at a rate of 18%. Tex. Ins. Code § 542.060.
- Pleading further, Plaintiff would show that she is entitled to declaratory relief, pursuant to Chapter 37 of the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code. The conduct of Defendant, as described above, creates uncertainty and insecurity with respect to Plaintiff's rights, status, and other legal relations with Defendant. Plaintiff, therefore, requests this Court exercise its power afforded under § 37.001 et. Seq. of the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code, and declare the specific rights and status of the parties herein. Specifically, Plaintiff requests this Court review the facts and attending circumstances and declare that she is disabled as that term is defined by the policy.
- 17. Plaintiff prays that the Court award costs and reasonable and necessary attorney's fees which are equitable and just under § 37.009 of the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code, § 38.001 of the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code, and Chapters 541 and 542 of the Texas Insurance Code.
- 18. Plaintiff hereby makes application and demand for jury trial of this cause.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff respectfully prays that Defendant be cited to appear herein and answer, and that Plaintiff recover her damages, statutory additional damages, treble damages, exemplary damages, reasonable attorney's fees, and costs incurred in prosecuting this lawsuit as well as pre- and post-judgment interest at the highest lawful rates, costs of court, clarification of his right to receive future benefits under the policy, and for such other

general relief to which Plaintiff may show herself justly entitled under the attending facts and circumstances.

Respectfully submitted,

Bemis, Roach & Reed 4100 Duval Rd., Bldg. 1, Ste. 200 Austin, Texas 78759 (512) 454-4000 (512) 453-6335 (facsimile) greg@brrlaw.com

By:

GREG REED State Bar No. 16677750